

# “Hate Language”

by J. T. Smith

“According to the *Washington Times*, the school board in Provincetown, Massachusetts, has decreed that preschoolers will be presented a positive image of homosexuality and called for hiring preferences for homosexuals.

The school board unanimously adopted a seven-point plan pushed by Jeannine Christina, a lesbian mother who is also head of the Provincetown PTA, as an "anti-bias" proposal.

One high school teacher and homosexual activist who backed the plan said the goal was to change the school curriculum, which is taught from the point of view of "white Europeans...who are also very heterosexual, very Christian, very male."

Teachers' manuals that will be used in classes, for example, state that "teaching children to be critical of oppression is teaching true morality," including the oppression of homosexuals.

Provincetown will also bring representatives from the gay activist group "Parents, Families and Friends of Lesbians and Gays" to speak in kindergarten classes, and children will be taught that families can be headed by either heterosexual or homosexual parents.

The plan calls for schools to "search for, hire and retain a diverse staff, including sexual minorities," language considered an euphemism for a homosexual quota system.

"We are on a trailblazing path," said school superintendent Susan Fleming. "The whole question is making gays and lesbians, whether through visuals or examples or acknowledging different family structures. . . visible."

Fleming also said that schools were an appropriate place to effect change in society at large. "Schools often play out what's happening in the community. We are going to be a change agent," she said'." — Don Wildmon

The above article just emphasizes what humanists have been trying to achieve for a number of years. In the *Humainst Manifesto II*, Pages 17, 19, articles three and six we read under the subject heading entitled *Ethics*:

“**Third:** We affirm that moral values derive their source from human experience. Ethics is autonomous and situational, needing no theological or ideological sanction. . .”

“**Sixth:** In the area of sexuality, we believe that intolerant attitudes often cultivated by orthodox religions and puritanical cultures, unduly repress sexual conduct. The right to birth control, abortion and divorce should be recognized. While we do not approve of exploitive, denigrating forms of sexual expression, neither do we wish to prohibit, by law or social sanction, sexual behavior between consenting adults. The many varieties of sexual exploration should not in themselves be considered ‘evil.’ Without countenancing mindless permissiveness or unbridled promiscuity, a civilized society should be a tolerant one. Short of harming others or compelling them to do likewise, individuals should be permitted to express their sexual proclivities and pursue their life-styles as they desire. We wish to cultivate the development of a reasonable attitude toward sexuality, in which humans are not exploited as sexual objects, and in which intimacy, sensitivity, respect, and honesty in interpersonal relations are encouraged. Moral education for children and adults is an important way of developing awareness and sexual maturity.”

The Bible and “hate language” in Canada

Leviticus 18:22 “You shall not lie with a male as with a woman. It is an abomination.”

Romans 1:20-27 “For since the creation of the world His invisible attributes are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even His eternal power and Godhead, so that they are without excuse, <sup>21</sup>because, although they knew God, they did not glorify Him as God, nor were thankful, but became futile in their thoughts, and their foolish hearts were darkened. <sup>22</sup>Professing to be wise, they became fools, <sup>23</sup>and changed the glory of the incorruptible God into an image made like corruptible man--and birds and four-footed animals and creeping things. <sup>24</sup>Therefore God also gave them up to uncleanness, in the lusts of their hearts, to dishonor their bodies among themselves, <sup>25</sup>who exchanged the truth of God for the lie, and worshiped and served the creature rather than the Creator, who is blessed forever. Amen. <sup>26</sup>For this reason God gave them up to vile passions. For even their women exchanged the natural use for what is against nature. <sup>27</sup>Likewise also the men, leaving the

natural use of the woman, burned in their lust for one another, men with men committing what is shameful, and receiving in themselves the penalty of their error which was due.”

I Corinthians 6:9-10 “Do you not know that the unrighteous will not inherit the kingdom of God? Do not be deceived. Neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor homosexuals, nor sodomites, nor thieves, nor covetous, nor drunkards, nor revilers, nor extortioners will inherit the kingdom of God.”

### **COURT AGREES WITH HUMAN RIGHTS TRIBUNAL THAT BIBLE = HATE LITERATURE**

Christian Leader Warns Ruling Shows Danger of Proposed Changes to Hate Laws

REGINA, February 10, 2003 (LifeSiteNews.com) - In a ruling given virtually no media coverage, the Court of Queen's Bench in Saskatchewan, ruled that a man who placed references to Bible verses on homosexuality into a newspaper ad was guilty of inciting hatred. The December 11, 2002 decision was in response to an appeal of a 2001 Saskatchewan Human Rights Commission (HRC) ruling which ordered both the *Saskatoon StarPhoenix* newspaper and Hugh Owens of Regina to pay \$1,500 to three homosexual activists for publishing an ad in the Saskatoon newspaper quoting bible verses regarding homosexuality.

The purpose of the ad was to indicate that the Bible says no to homosexual behaviour. The advertisement displayed references to four Bible passages: Romans 1, Leviticus 18:22, Leviticus 20:13 and I Corinthians 6:9-10, on the left side. An equal sign (=) was situated in the middle, with a symbol on the right side. The symbol was comprised of two males holding hands with the universal symbol of a red circle with a diagonal bar superimposed over top.

Justice J. Barclay rejected the appeal ruling: "In my view, the Board was correct in concluding that the advertisement can objectively be seen as exposing homosexuals to hatred or ridicule. When the use of the circle and slash is combined with the passages of the Bible, it exposes homosexuals to detestation, vilification and disgrace. In other words, the Biblical passage (sic) which suggest that if a man lies with a man they must be put to death exposes homosexuals to hatred."

Janet Epp Buckingham, Legal Counsel for the Evangelical Fellowship of Canada told LifeSite that ‘The ruling that a verse from the Bible can be considered to expose homosexuals to hatred shows the danger for Scripture if Bill C-250 passes.’ Bill, C-250, proposed by homosexual activist MP Svend Robinson would see "sexual orientation" added to hate crime law as a prohibited ground of discrimination.

With all of the work that is being done by the homosexual community how long will it be before the ruling made in Canada carries over to the good old USA? As the above passages set forth, homosexuality and lesbianism is an abomination in the sight of God.